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Age distribution of incidentally caught and stranded franciscanas

Age distribution of franciscanas incidentally caught in northern Rio de Janeiro State, southeastern Brazil, was reported for 62 males and 57 females (Di Beneditto and Ramos, 2000). Males ranged from 0 to 5 years but were mostly of age 2. Females ranged from 0 to 9 years and were mostly of ages 2 and 3. Overall, 74% of all captured animals were of three years or younger.

Age distribution of 260 franciscanas from incidental captures and strandings were obtained from three regions in southeastern Brazil: Espirito Santo State (ES) (n = 14), northern Rio de Janeiro State (NRJ) (n = 141) and São Paulo State (SP) (n = 105) (Ramos et al., 2000). A comparison between the age distribution of incidental catches in NRJ and strandings in SP indicated a higher percentage of older animals in SP: 73% were between 0-3 years in NRJ (incidental captures); the same percentage was between 3-6 years in SP (strandings) (K-S Test, n=164, P≤0.05). Since both samples are from different regions, it is unclear how animals in SP: 73% were between 0-3 years in NRJ (incidental captures) and strandings in SP indicated a higher percentage of older animals in SP: 73% were between 0-3 years in NRJ (incidental captures); the same percentage was between 3-6 years in SP (strandings) (K-S Test, n=164, P≤0.05). Since both samples are from different regions, it is unclear how.

However, similarities have been detected in the age structure of incidentally caught franciscana when compared over the complete distribution. Age classes up to 3 years were the most representative in southern Brazil (Pinedo, 1994; Ott, 1998; Ott et al., 2000), Uruguay (Kasuya and Brownell, 1979; Crespo et al., 1986) and Argentina (Perez Macri and Crespo, 1989, Corcuera et al., 1994). This suggests that captures are biased towards juveniles (see also IWC, 1994). Age distribution patterns for stranded franciscanas in other regions are unknown. A summary on information of age structure of the southern franciscana incidentally caught or stranded is given in Table 1.

Age at sexual maturity

The mean age at sexual maturity (ASM) for females was calculated for Rio Grande do Sul State, southern Brazil (RS) (Danilewicz et al., 2000), and compared to the corresponding values for other regions – (Punta del Diablo, Uruguay (UR) (Kasuya and Brownell, 1979) and Buenos Aires Province, Argentina (AR) (Corcuera, 1996) - and time windows (Danilewicz and Secchi, 2000). Depending on the estimation method, these values are within the following ranges: RS (3.2 – 3.7); UR (2.2 – 2.8) and AR (4.3 – 4.5). For the first time, an estimate of ASM of males collected in Rio Grande do Sul was presented (Danilewicz et al., 2000). This estimate was based on 57 animals, and resulted in ASM estimates of between 3.0 and 3.6, depending on estimation method (logistic equation and DeMaster, respectively). Values on minimum age and size of sexual maturity for NRJ (Di Beneditto and Ramos, 2000) are 3 years and 130cm for female, and 2 years and 115cm for male. Age at sexual maturity of animals incidentally caught along Paraná and southern São Paulo states was between 4 to 5 years. However, sample size was small (Rosas et al., 2000).

Exponential survival-fecundity rate of increase (r_s)

Caughley (1977) describes different concepts for the rate of population increase (r) (Chapters 5 and 9). According to his classification, we will be referring to “the rate implied by the prevailing schedules of survival and fecundity”, r_s. To simplify notation the subscript s will be dropped. In some instances the corresponding finite rate of increase λ (=e^r) is given instead of r. In addition, the percentage annual growth rate R = 100 (λ -1) is sometimes presented in the results. The rate of increase (r) of a specific franciscana stock (defined through a phylogeographic approach), which involves animals inhabiting southern Brazilian and Uruguayan waters (Secchi, 1999) was estimated from given schedules of survival and fecundity using Lotka’s equation:

\[ 1 = \sum_{x=a}^{w} e^{-r_s x} l_x m_x \]

where: \( l_x \) (\( x = 0, 1, ..., w \)) is the probability of a female surviving the interval between birth to age \( x \) (\( l_0 = 1 \)), \( m_x \) (\( x = 0, 1, ..., w \)) is the expected number of female offsprings produced by a female aged \( x \) at the birth-pulse beginning the next year interval. The sex ratio at birth is approximately 1:1. \( a \) is the age of first reproduction (i.e. \( m_x = 0 \) for \( x < a \) ) and \( w \) is the longevity defined as some maximal age after which \( l_x \) or \( m_x \) or both can be considered zero. The oldest reported franciscana is a 21 years old female (Pinedo, 1991). The maximum age reported for males is 16 years (Kasuya and Brownell, 1979). Therefore, the life span seems to be around 20 years. However, only a small percentage of the animals live more than 10 years (Kasuya and Brownell, 1979; Pinedo, 1994; Ott et al., 2000; Pinedo and Hohn, 2000; Ramos et al., 2000).

Uncertainties in age-specific fecundity and survival schedules were incorporated in the estimates of r in the form of Monte Carlo simulations. For each simulation, fecundity rates were sampled from a Beta probability distribution with age-specific parameters estimated from information on pregnancy rates (see section on age-specific fecundity and data in Table 2). Due to a lack of data on age-specific survival rates for franciscanas, rates were sampled from a random combination of survival curves from other mammals. This alternative to modelling age specific survival rates of cetacean populations has been used previously (Barlow and Boweng, 1991; Caswell et al., 1998).

The effects on the estimated rate of increase r in response to:

- age at first reproduction (\( a \));
- longevity (\( w \)) and
- two different criteria for pregnancy rate (i.e. presence of corpus luteum or of foetuses),
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Table 1. Summary of available information on age structure of southern franciscana incidentally caught in Argentina, Uruguay and southern Brazil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mode (%)</th>
<th>%&lt;4 GLGs</th>
<th>Max. (F)</th>
<th>Max. (M)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay*</td>
<td>1970-1973</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1 (46.8)</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Kasuya and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>1980-1981</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1 (45.6)</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Crespo et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>1969-1982</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>1 (37.0)</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Pinedo 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buenos Aires Province</td>
<td>1983-1986</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 (36.4) and</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Perez-Macri 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buenos Aires Province</td>
<td>1988-1990</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0 (21.4) and</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Corcuera et al. 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio Grande do Sul</td>
<td>1992-1997</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>1 (34.7)</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ott et al. 2000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: (n) number of analysed animals; (GLGs) Growth Layers Groups, which correspond to age in years; (Max. F) maximum recorded age for females; (Max. M) maximum recorded age for males (compiled by Ott et al., 2000).

a- Sampling biased for adult females collected for reproductive studies
b- Dolphins washed ashore collected in beach surveys

Table 2. Reproductive status of female franciscanas incidentally caught in coastal gillnet fisheries in Rio Grande do Sul.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Nr</th>
<th>Pregnant (P) Foetus</th>
<th>Immatures</th>
<th>m_f (Foetus)</th>
<th>m_m (CL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0 0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5 8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7+</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>16 26</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References: (Nr) number of females of age x in sample; (P) number of pregnant females of age x; (m_f) age-specific fecundity estimated using the presence of foetuses as pregnancy criterion; (m_m) age-specific fecundity estimated using the presence of Corpus Luteum (CL) in the ovaries as pregnancy criterion (adapted from Danilewicz and Secchi, 2000).

were examined by comparing different scenarios (Secchi, 1999). In each, a total of 1000 random runs were performed. According to the most optimistic scenario (i.e. using lowest possible value for a, the corpus luteum as criterion of pregnancy, and highest reported value of longevity) the estimated mean value for λ (=er) was 1.02 (SE = 0.4). Thus, the estimated mean percentual annual growth rate was 2%. The scenario considered by Secchi to be most realistic (i.e. using parameter values that agree with available data) provided an annual growth rate of only 0.16%. Although there was much uncertainty in the estimates, the simulations suggested that the potential rate of annual increase of franciscana populations (based on the data from southern Brazil and Uruguay) is unlikely to exceed 2%. This value agrees with the low potential rate of population increase observed in other small cetaceans (e.g. Reilly and Barlow, 1986; Slooten and Lad, 1991; Caswell et al., 1998).

Age-specific survival rate (lx)
This is an attempt to develop a franciscana mortality schedule based on available information on reproductive and longevity parameters, considering expert opinion on the range of plausible values for these parameters. In order to find a range of possible age specific survival rates for franciscana the following ‘best case scenario’ was used in 1000 Monte Carlo simulations: age of first reproduction (a) = 3, w = 21; m_f and using the presence of foetuses as criterion for pregnancy. From these simulations age specific survival curves were selected which resulted in an annual growth rate between 0.5% and 6.0%. This arbitrary range includes biologically plausible values for cetaceans (e.g. Reilly and Barlow, 1986; Best, 1993). For the selected survival rates the mean and standard deviation of age-specific survival rates were calculated (Secchi et al., 2000). Estimated mean adult (individuals older than 1
Trends in stranding rates

Long-term trends in fishing effort of the coastal bottom gillnet fishery were compared to long-term stranding rates of franciscana in southern Brazil (Pinedo and Polacheck, 1999). Stranding rates prior to 1990 were over three times higher than those after 1990. This decrease was significant (p = 0.001). Pinedo and Polacheck (1999) also suggested that stranding rates declined markedly from the late seventies to early eighties, while in the 1990s they have remained low and relatively stable. Stranding rates may reflect general trends in total bycatch of franciscana. If this is the case, a decline in franciscana abundance is the most likely explanation for the declining or stable stranding rates observed during a period of increasing coastal gillnet fishing effort (Pinedo and Polacheck, 1999).

Simulation Studies

Simulation studies to examine the effects of incidental captures on population dynamics were reported for RS. Using Lotka’s equation (Secchi and Kinas, 2000, Secchi 1999) and information on age-specific fecundity, longevity, ASM (all taken from field data) and a very general age-specific survival curve, the resulting annual growth rate of the population, indicated a declining population. The integration of this model with time-series of stranding data (Pinedo and Polacheck 1999) improved the growth rate estimates (Kinas, 2000). However, the conclusion that the stock is currently declining, was not changed.

Population viability analysis (PVA) was performed using different approaches (Kinas, 2000, Secchi et al., 2000). Under current harvest rates (somewhere between 0.029 and 0.070 (Kinas, 2000)), the probability of population decrease within 20 years is estimated to be between 61% and 79% (Secchi et al., 2000). Similarly, the time until the population reaches 10% of its current size was estimated to be between 16 and 41 years (median = 23 years). Further analysis (Kinas, 2000) suggests that by halving current harvest rates this time increases to between 20 to 66 years (median = 31 years). However, due to lack of information on current population size, it is unclear if a population 1/10 the size of the existing one is sustainable or too small for survival.

A limitation of both models above (Kinas, 2002 and Secchi et al., 2000) is the fact that density-dependence or other compensatory mechanisms (i.e. modelling at the level of metapopulation) were not included in the model.
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